

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	Э.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/808,207		03/23/2004	Jeffrey H. Burbank	53951-125	3939	
21890	7590	07/05/2006		EXAMINER		
	PROSKAUER ROSE LLP PATENT DEPARTMENT				BIANCO, PATRICIA	
	DELAKTI			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YO	RK, NY	10036-8299	•	3761 DATE MAILED: 07/05/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				٣			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/808,207	BURBANK ET AL.				
Office A	ction Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Patricia M. Bianco	3761				
The MAILING Period for Reply	DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
WHICHEVER IS LC - Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS fr - If NO period for reply is s - Failure to reply within the Any reply received by the	CATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY DNGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 of this communication. The mailing date of this communication pecified above, the maximum statutory period waset or extended period for reply will, by statute, office later than three months after the mailing truent. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time The country in the	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communicatio (D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive t	o communication(s) filed on						
2a) This action is	FINAL. 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this ap	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in acc	ordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims							
4a) Of the above 5) Claim(s) 6) Claim(s) 7) Claim(s)		vn from consideration.					
Application Papers							
10) ☐ The drawing(s Applicant may Replacement o	ion is objected to by the Examine i) filed on is/are: a) according a control according the correct around the correct sectors are considered to be a correct sector around the correct sectors are considered to be a correct sector around the correct sectors are considered to be a correct sector around the correct sector around the correct sectors are considered to be a correct sector around the correct sector arou	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
	's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:					

Election/Restrictions

Page 2

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Species A: Claims 1-10

Species B: Claims 11-18

The species are independent or distinct because although both are fluid circuits, Species B requires a tray with cutouts and a support panel and further includes a bag and tubular members which are not required by Species A.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/808,207

Art Unit: 3761

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patricia M. Bianco whose telephone number is (571)

Application/Control Number: 10/808,207 Page 4

Art Unit: 3761

272-4940. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 9:00-6:30, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tatyana Zalukaeva can be reached on (571) 272-1115. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

June 26, 2006

Patricia M Bianco Primary Examiner Art Unit 3761

> PATRICIA BIANCO PRIMARY EXAMINER

Souw